

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/664,451 | ZHANG ET AL. | |

| | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| S. Tran | 1618 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) S. Tran. (3) _____.

(2) Joseph A. Fuchs. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

of record

Claims discussed:

of record

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to: 1) amend the specification to include the percent amounts of the drugs, because these limitations were in the originally filed claims but not in the specification; and 2)incorporate the limitations of claim 2 into all independent claims. Applicant's attorney authorized the examiner's amendment.